

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/586,025	Applicant(s) SCHUNKE ET AL
	Examiner ARUN WILLIAMS	Art Unit 2858

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ARUN WILLIAMS (3) _____

(2) Richard Krinsky (4) _____

Date of Interview 16 March 2010.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed. (USNO 2003/0089556), (USPATNO 5,500,792), & (USPATNO 6,462,512).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached g) was not reached. h) N/A

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed and proposed some amendments to the claims which seem to overcome the present prior art, but once submitted would require further search and/or consideration.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.